

Annex B- Form for providing respondents' feedback on proposed changes

Nord Pool support Europex response on this public consultation and would like to give some further feedback.

Proposed change No. A.1.3

Respondent's view *

Nord Pool has no desire of changing the UTI length and allowed characters. Such change will result in development for us as RRM and for market participants reading this data.

Proposed change No. A.6.4

Respondent's view

Nord Pool consider this change to be beneficial for those that report and read the information.

Nord Pool had this functionality included in our previous UMM system and it was much appreciated by market participants using our system. We continue getting feedback that market participants want this functionality back, and therefore, Nord Pool is positive to ACER's proposed change.

Proposed change No. A.7.2

Respondent's view *

It's not fully clear what ACER is proposing to change.

Nord Pool propose that all "datetime" in Table 1 and Table 2 schemas are reported with time zone offset to avoid misunderstandings. It will facilitate for the market participants trading in different market areas with different time zones, reading ACER formatted information.

Nord Pool also support changing reporting all "datetime" in Table 1 and Table 2 schemas in UTC, in line with EMIR reporting.

Proposed change No. A.7.3

Respondent's view *

The reason for change is reasonable. Nord Pool support the change.

Proposed change No. A.7.4

Respondent's view *

The reason for change is reasonable. Nord Pool support the change.

Proposed change No. A.8.2

Respondent's view

Nord Pool is positive to the proposed change related to electricity. No comment related to UMMs for gas.

Nord Pool has implemented the functionality of publishing multiple outage values, per defined time period, within one UMM based. In order to comply with ACERs schema, relevant UMMs are split up in to multiple messages in the "back end".

The functionality is very much used and there was a strong request from users of our service to keep the functionality, when we launched a new service in the beginning of this year.

Annex C- Form for providing additional changes and comments

Data type	Insider Information Electricity [e.g. Table1]
Impacted field(s)	[e.g. TRUM Data Field No (37) Price currency, schema field priceCurrency]
Description of your change proposal/Other comment	Include an optional field with unit or asset in other market information.
Motivation for the change	<p>Other market information often relates to a unit or an asset. Market participants can today include information about unit or asset in the remarks field with other relevant information.</p> <p>Filtering out relevant information for a specific unit or asset from a text field is more time-consuming than if the unit would have been reported in a separate field. A separate field for unit or asset would make it easier for the market participants reading to identify information related to a specific unit or asset, in the same way as they today can do for unavailability information where unit reported in a separate field.</p> <p>Nord Pool therefor propose that an optional filed for unit or asset is added in the schema for other market information.</p>