

Public consultation on the ENTSO-E proposals for technical specifications for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Public Consultation

ENTSO-E proposals for technical specifications for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms

This consultation is addressed to all interested stakeholders.

Stakeholders are invited to fill out this online survey by **9 August 2020, 23:59 hrs (CEST)**.

For questions, please contact ACER at: ACER-ELE-2020-014@acer.europa.eu

Consultation objective and background

This consultation aims to gather stakeholder views on the proposed technical specifications for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms.

On 3 July 2020, the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity (ENTSO-E) submitted to ACER their proposals for technical specifications for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms pursuant to Article 26(11) of Regulation (EU) 2019/943, and consisting of:

- a methodology for calculating the maximum entry capacity for cross-border participation;
- a methodology for sharing the revenues;
- common rules for the carrying out of availability checks;
- common rules for determining when a non-availability payment is due;
- terms of operation of the ENTSO-E registry; and
- common rules for identifying capacity eligible to participate in the capacity mechanism.

According to Article 26(11), ACER shall approve these proposals based on the procedure set out in Article 27 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943, amending them where required. In order to inform its assessment and if required, identify areas for amendment, ACER invites all interested third parties to submit their views on the proposals by responding to this online survey during a consultation period of 4 weeks.

Following this consultation, ACER will consider stakeholder feedback and expects to take a decision on the proposals, including potential amendments, within the next three months as required by Article 27 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943, i.e. by 5 October 2020.

Related documents

- ENTSO-E, Cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms: Proposed methodologies, common rules and terms of operation in accordance with Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast), version of 3 July 2020

(https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official_documents/Public_consultations/PC_2020_E_12/200703%20Single%20document%20for%20XB%20CM%20methodologies.pdf)

- ENTSO-E proposed methodologies, common rules and terms of reference related to cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms: Explanatory document, version of 3 July 2020 (https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official_documents/Public_consultations/PC_2020_E_12/200703%20Explanatory%20document%20for%20XB%20CM%20methodologies.pdf)
- ENTSO-E, Public consultation on draft methodologies and common rules for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms: Response to public consultation comments received during the consultation held from 31 January to 13 March 2020, version of 3 July 2020 (https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official_documents/Public_consultations/PC_2020_E_12/200703%20Response%20to%20public%20consultation%20on%20XB%20CM%20methodologies.pdf)
- Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 establishing a European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (recast) (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A32019R0942>)
- Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32019R0943>)
- ACER Guidance Note on Consultations (https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official_documents/Other%20documents/Guidance%20Note%20on%20Consultations%20by%20ACER.pdf)
- ACER Rules of Procedure (AB Decision No 19/2019) (https://www.acer.europa.eu/en/The_agency/Organisation/Administrative_Board/Administrative%20Board%20Decision/Decision%20No%2019%20-%202019%20-%20Rules%20of%20Procedure%20of%20the%20Agency.pdf)

Contact details

* Name and surname

Lena Breuer

* Company

Amprion GmbH

* Address

Robert-Schuman-Straße 7, 44263 Dortmund

* Country

Germany

* Phone

+49 231 5849 14813

* Email

Privacy and confidentiality

ACER will publish all non-confidential responses, including the names of the respondents, unless they should be considered as confidential, and it will process personal data of the respondents in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32018R1725>) of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, taking into account that this processing is necessary for performing ACER's consultation task. For more details on how the contributions and the personal data of the respondents will be dealt with, please see ACER's Guidance Note on Consultations (https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official_documents/Other%20documents/Guidance%20Note%20on%20Consultations%20by%20ACER.pdf) and the specific privacy statement attached to this consultation.

Article 7(4) of ACER's Rules of Procedure (RoP) (<https://s-intranet/Drive/Departments/Electricity/ED%20Deliverables/Decision%20No%2019%20-%202019%20-%20Rules%20of%20Procedure%20of%20the%20Agency.pdf#search=rules%20of%20procedures>) requires that a party participating in an ACER public consultation explicitly indicates whether its submission contains confidential information.

***Is your submission to this consultation confidential?**

- YES
 NO

Consultation questions

ACER seeks the opinion of stakeholders with respect to the following elements of the ENTSO-E proposal.

Methodology for calculating the maximum entry capacity

1. Do you agree with the proposed methodology for calculating the maximum entry capacity for cross-border participation? If not, please explain which elements of the methodology should be changed or otherwise improved.

2. Should the methodology allow for calculating capacity contributions from Member States with no direct network connection with the Member State applying the capacity mechanism?

Methodology for sharing the revenues from the allocation of entry capacity

3. Do you agree with the proposed methodology for sharing the revenues from allocating entry capacity? If not, please explain which elements of the methodology should be changed or otherwise improved.

Common rules for the carrying out of availability checks

4. Do you agree with the proposed common rules for the carrying out of availability checks? If not, please explain which elements of the proposed rules should be changed or otherwise improved.

Common rules for determining when a non-availability payment is due

5. Do you agree with the proposed common rules for determining when a non-availability payment is due? If not, please explain which elements of the proposed rules should be changed or otherwise improved.

Terms of the operation of the ENTSO-E registry

6. Do you agree with the proposed terms of the operation of the ENTSO-E registry? If not, please explain which elements of the proposed terms should be changed or otherwise improved.

Common rules for identifying capacity eligible to participate in the capacity mechanism

7. Do you agree with the proposed common rules for identifying capacity eligible to participate in the capacity mechanism? If not, please explain which elements of the proposed rules should be changed or otherwise improved.

General provisions and other comments

8. Do you agree with the general provisions of the ENTSO-E proposals (Title 1)? If not, please specify which provisions should be changed or otherwise improved, and explain why.

9. Do you have any other comments on the ENTSO-E proposals that we should take into account in our assessment?

We appreciate the cooperative work collaborated of all TSO's within ENTSO-E regarding the proposed methods common rules and terms of operation for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms. Amprion, as one of the four German TSOs, would like to highlight the following points, which are most crucial for the German TSO's to enable a cross-border participation in foreign capacity mechanisms.

For us as TSOs system security is essential. Regarding Article 18 (2) of the ENTSO-E proposal it is crucial for the TSOs that, dependant on where the capacity is located, an availability check does not negatively affect the system security. Furthermore, it is essential that the application of availability checks must not increase the costs for maintaining the same level of system security as without availability checks.

While assessing the ENTSO-E proposal we would like to stress the importance of the Article 3 that addresses how the cost incurred by the implementation of cross-border participation will be covered. The provision of cost coverage is crucial as to successfully implement cross-border participation in European capacity mechanisms - which is the main goal to be achieved by the proposal. Indeed, Article 26 (10) of the EU Regulation 2019/943 addresses tasks (among which examining eligibility and carrying out eligibility checks) to be performed by the TSO where the foreign capacity is located.

For the successful implementation it is necessary for neighbouring TSOs to establish bilateral agreements that regulate the technical implementation of cross-border participation. These agreements should remain technical documents dealing with the exchange of data and possible calculation needed so as to facilitate such negotiations. Both sides must take into account the specifications of the respective country and still find a non-discriminatory solution. While the costs of the CM Operator (TSO) are covered within the national regulatory framework, the TSO where the foreign capacity is located should also have the certainty that non-discrimination is applied as well. Therefore, operational and investment costs arising from the tasks listed at Article 26 (10) of the EU Regulation 2019/943 should be covered. The approach proposed by ENTSO-E regarding cost coverage provides the consensus of all involved TSOs that the cost impact for the TSO where the capacity is located should be neutralized and that these costs are covered, whenever possible, within the national regulatory framework of the CM Operator, and that only the remaining costs are covered within the grid tariffs of the Member State where the capacity is located. Furthermore, the proposal stresses that these costs should be proportional through the cost approval by both National Regulatory Authorities involved.

Contact

ACER-ELE-2020-014@acer.europa.eu
