

ACER Decision on the amendment of the determination of capacity calculation regions: Annex II

*For information only*

## **Evaluation of responses to the public consultation on the proposal for amendment of the determination of capacity calculation regions**

### **1 Introduction**

On 13 October 2022, The European Network of Transmission System Operators of Electricity ('ENTSO-E'), submitted a proposal for amendment of the Determination of capacity calculation regions ('CCRs') ('the Proposal') on behalf of all transmission system operators ('TSOs') to ACER for approval.

In order to take an informed decision and in accordance with Article 14(6) of Regulation (EU) 2019/942, ACER launched a public consultation on 28 October 2022 inviting all interested stakeholders, including regulatory authorities and TSOs, to provide any comments on the Proposal. The closing date for comments was 25 November 2022.

### **2 Responses**

By the end of the consultation period, ACER received comments from 2 respondents.

This evaluation paper summarises all of the respondents' comments and how these were considered by ACER. The table below is organised according to the consultation questions and provides the respective views from the respondents, as well as a response from ACER clarifying how their comments were taken into account in the present Decision.

Respondents' views	ACER views
<b>Question 1: Do you have any comments on the proposed amendments (i.e. related to the addition of the Norwegian bidding zone borders to the Nordic and Hansa CCRs)</b>	
2 respondents provided an answer to this question.	
<p>1 respondent (Statkraft Energi AS) fully supported the proposed amendments to include the Norwegian bidding zone borders to the Nordic CCR and Hansa CCR. Statkraft Energi AS emphasized that allocating the Norwegian bidding zones to the relevant CCRs is an important stepping stone to ensure the full implementation of the third energy package in Norway.</p> <p>1 respondent (EFET) agreed with the proposed amendment. EFET also emphasized that the definition of CCRs is an important topic to them, as they expect that the benefit from increased coordination will lead, over time, to more cross-zonal capacity being made available to the market by the TSOs and thus, to deeper integration of European electricity markets.</p>	ACER acknowledges the received comments
<b>Question 2: Do you have any comments on other elements of the CCR Proposal?</b>	
1 respondent provided an answer to this question.	
<p>EFET presented on a general note that they would suggest a periodic review of the overall delineation of CCRs. EFET mentions that it appears to them that the CCRs of “buffer regions” (i.e. Hansa) should be thought of as temporary, and that these CCRs should be progressively integrated in larger CCRs in the coming years.</p>	<p>While ACER does support gradual merger of CCRs in the future, it deems it important to allow TSOs to exploit all feasible measures to improve the functioning of the internal electricity market in the most efficient way while following the requirements of Regulation (EC) 2019/943 and the CACM Regulation. Therefore, ACER does not deem it necessary to abandon the buffer regions in the current CCR determination</p>

### 3 List of respondents

Organisation	Type
EFET – European Federation of Energy Traders	Association
Statkraft Energi AS	Energy company